RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 2 8 2007

FCC - MAILROOM

December 20, 2007

LETTER OF APPEAL

CC Docket No.96-45 & 02-6

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

CC: 02-6

FOUR PAGES TOTAL

This letter appeals the funded amount of Okmulgee ISD #1, (BEN 140197) 471 Application Number 547821, FRN 1511969.

In this instance, the Applicant made a ministerial/clerical error in totaling the non-recurring prediscount amount of FRN 1511969. The applicant requested \$135,642.43 as a pre-discount amount, when in fact the Item 21 documentation for this FRN shows that the correct amount should have been \$269,786.17.

USAC could have identified this error during the PIA process. The total price of both contracts is listed on each document. Page one of the Item 21 shows a "\$145,190.30 Grand Total" and page two shows a "\$146,702.06 Grand Total." Adding up the totals on these two documents shows the correct pre-discount price, \$269,786.17. (\$145,190.30 + \$146,702.06 - \$22,892.38 (BMIC costs) = \$269,786.17).

Per the Bishop Perry order, we ask that the FCC to instruct the SLD to revise the pre-discount amount for FRN 1511969 to reflect the correct, documented amount of \$269,786.17.

If you have any questions about this appeal, please use the contact information below.

Sincerely,

Chris Webber

CRW Consulting, LLC

PO Box 701713

Tulsa, OK 74170

(p) 918.445.0048

(f) 918.445.0049

chris@crwconsulting.com

DNE

143025482

Captus Solutions, Ltd. 41503, 1960: Eastave, Suite 401, Tuise, OK, 74145 Phone: (918) 605-2278 Fam.(918) 863-0772



Okmulgee Public Schools

Erate Eligible Items Shore Tel Pi	home System
First Or Extended	Describilier:
314 202 18 6 171 126 90	Donach Cons
SA 382.47 33.327.49	
T4465444 1 1355844	Share Beat Call Routing and DisCrise New Parts 1
\$22,800,000 1 122,800,00	Interplation
STOREAR Y STOREARY	Maintenance
	1927,232.22 Total Blobbi Ibans ()
	- 19 bit 4/4/
Erete in-Eligible items	
Each Div Extended	116,567,51
19677 4 19689	Se Part Williams
919000 19 51.51.600	St. Street Macaphe 10. T Street Maria On Hold National Lond Unit Shared Annual College 10. The College Macaphe College National Control of College National Control of College National College National Control of College National Control of College National College National Control of College National Control of College National College National Control of College National
#16600 16 (2.460) 1/2600 45 55-7600 1/260 97 7-8500	Share para Posco Share para Posco Share para Posco
17201 00 52 9801	And the state of t
State of the state	110 957-200 Total In-Eligible flams
(X) \$145,180.30	Grand Total (not including applicable sales fax) 4 1/3
(1)	Grand Fold (not including applicable sales fax) 4 (55, 642, 43
arit Wind	The state of the s
\$0.00	Pampulanni (1860)
\$14\$,190.10	Required upon profest completion
•	
According and Apparal upon the	
with the state of	
The second secon	12/27/2006
	Mile Schools in
	Miles Company
fwave Dies	
	76 Miles
Manufacture of Formal April 10	Communication Link
PIRTO 1 Salah	W 12000
Aca President	
TOOK	
	3

Captus Solutions, Ind. Confidential

Page 1 of 1

Switches

PAGE TWO

Captus Solutions, Ltd.

4150 S. 109th East Ave., Stite 401, Tolsta, OK. 74148 Prone: (918) 563-2276 Fax: (918) 563-0772



	Okmulgee Public Schools	Read State
Extreme Networks Hardware 100 20 10 20 20 20 20 20	Discription Signal Associate Signal Associate Signal Associate Signal Associate Addition of the Associate Associate Signal Associate Associate Signal Associate	Must costs

 \mathscr{R}

\$146,702.06

Grand Total (not including applicable sales tax)

10.00 \$346,702.05 Réquired Dawn (0%) Temper d'épar project completion

Accepted and Acres Vision De

Water Public Schools

FINANCE DIFFERENCE

Mary Confidence and the second and t

Vice President

19 for land

Copen Solutions, Ltd. Confidential

Page 1 of 1



USAC Can Grant an Appeal ...

- When the appeal shows that USAC erred in its initial review.
- When the appeal shows that the applicant made a mistake and that USAC could have identified the mistake from information provided with the application.
 - When the appeal provides documentation to correct an incorrect USAC assumption due to insufficient information.
 - If USAC obtains policy clarification or new policies between the time of funding commitment and the appeal decision.